Data Structures for Large Scale Point Cloud Processing

Meshing on Large Point Clouds

Thomas Wiemann, Andreas Nüchter



Software: http://www.las-vegas.uni-osnabrueck.de





Introduction

 3D sensors are commonly used to sample a robot's environment



• But we do not get a surface representation, only samples

*Bormann et al. 2008



Introduction

- Point Clouds can cantain millions of primitives
- We need a more compact and flexible representation
- Approximate the data with polygons



Approximation Algorithms have been developed in CG







Las Vegas Reconstruction - Example



OSNABRÜCK

UNIVERSITÄT











OSNABRÜCK

UNIVERSITÄT





UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK Reconstruction – Mesh Representation

• Triangle Lists









• Indexed buffers avoid redundancies



Reconstruction – Mesh Representation

- Linked data structures allow to find neighboring triangles in constant time
- "Half Edge Mesh"

OSNABRÜCK

UNIVERSITÄT







• Stanford .ply:

4 3 7 4 0

ply	ply
format ascii 1.0	format binary_little_endian 1.0
comment this file is a cube	element vertex 3710
element vertex 8	property float x
property float x	property float y
property float y	property float z
property float z	property uchar red
element face 6	property uchar green
property list uchar int vertex_index	property uchar blue
end_header	property float nx
0 0 0	property float ny
001	property float nz
011	element face 3908
010	property list uchar int vertex_indices
100	end_header
101	
1 1 1	
1 1 0	80 <bf>g<87><ab>>S:1<c2><ff><e5>0g<d5>m<cb>C^@<c< td=""></c<></cb></d5></e5></ff></c2></ab></bf>
40123	
47654	
40451	
41562	
4 2 6 7 3	



mtllib textures.mtl



Wavefront .obj allows to store material and texture information

<pre>## Beginning of vertex definitions.</pre>
v 2.21313 27.5737 -3.24302
v 1.93148 27.6632 -3.34341
v 2.20294 27.5767 -3.24272
v 2.21307 27.4955 -3.21163
v 2.13287 27.4975 -3.20067
v 2.05273 27.4996 -3.18979
v 1.97235 27.5011 -3.17861
v 1.89092 27.4997 -3.16612
v 4.91 45.9197 11.3388
v 4.91925 46.0006 11.3388
v 4.8698 46.0366 11.4142
v 4.8478 46.0222 11.414

newmtl texture_226 Ka 1.000 1.000 1.000 Kd 1.000 1.000 1.000 map_Kd texture_226.ppm

newmtl texture_227 Ka 1.000 1.000 1.000 Kd 1.000 1.000 1.000 map_Kd texture_227.ppm

f 430141/430141/430141 430120/430120/430120 430118/430118/430118 usemtl texture_227 f 430117/430117/430117 430128/430128/430128 430115/430115/430115 usemtl texture_227 f 430142/430142/430142 430118/430118/430118 430114/430114/430114 usemtl texture_227 f 430143/430143/430143 430127/430127/430127 430125/430125/430125 usemtl texture_227 f 430144/430144/430144 430109/430109/430109 430106/430106/430106



Processing Pipieline





Processing Pipieline





Reconstruction





- Reconstruction using Marching Cubes variants
- Using Hoppe's signed distance function
- Different methods for normal estimation
- Store the mesh a Half-Edge-Represention
- Do everything in parallel if possible



- Idea: Use a modified Marching Cubes Algorithm*:
 - Divide space into cubic cells of equal size
 - Determine the cell corners, that are outside a given surface
 - Use pre-computed patterns to approximate the surface
- Output: List of triangles that approximate the surface
- Enhancements
 - Use hashing and look-up tables to find duplicate vertices
 - Modified octree to generate a grid
 - Integrate the found triangles into a half edge representation
 - Find adjacent faces and surrounding edges in constant time

Implementation issues

*Lorensen & Cline 1987





2D Example:





In 3D 14 basic patterns are needed:





Grid Representation

• LVR uses a hashing based grid for reconstruction

$$i = \lfloor \frac{x - x_{min}}{v} \rfloor, \qquad j = \lfloor \frac{y - y_{min}}{v} \rfloor, \qquad k = \lfloor \frac{z - z_{min}}{v} \rfloor$$
$$\mathcal{H}(i, j, k) = i \cdot \dim_x \cdot \dim_y + j \cdot \dim_y + k$$

• Easy to search for redundancies





Search for Redundancies

• Efficient search for neighbour voxels









Generating a Half Edge Mesh



OSNABRÜCK

UNIVERSITÄT

Generating a Half Edge Mesh

```
edges \leftarrow empty list of half edges
faces \leftarrow empty half edge face
for i = 0 to 3 do
    currentVertex \leftarrow vertexIndices[i]
    nextVertex \leftarrow vertexIndices[(i + 1) \mod 3]
    if edge to current vertex exists then
        edges[i] \leftarrow pair-edge of edge to vertex
       edges[i].face \leftarrow currentFace
    else
        create new edge with corrensponding pair and link them
        update in and out lists of edge vertices
        edges[i] \leftarrow newly created half edge
    end if
end for
for i = 0 to 3 do
   edges[i].next \leftarrow edges[(i+1) \mod 3]
end for
face \leftarrow edges[0]
add face to mesh
```

OSNABRÜCK

UNIVERSITÄT



Surface Interpolation

• Hoppe's signed distance function (1992):



- Get consistent normal orientations
- Flip normals towards scanning position
- Interpolate surface intersection using the signed distance on two corners









Surface Interpolation

- Hoppe's approach works fine for dense data
- But:





Robust Normal Estimation

- The number of points needed for a robust normal estimation depends on noise and point density
- Use heuristic to determine the optimal number
- Analyze the bounding box of the *k*-neighborhood





Robust Normal Estimation

• Results:





Robust Normal Estimation

• Influence on reconstruction accuracy:





Moving Least Squares

- Higher order approximations
- Moving Least Squares:





Testing it!

- Call bin/reconstruct dat/scan.pts
- Further elevant parameters:
- -v --kd --kn --ki
- Voxelsize, NN-Search parameters
- What is the correct voxelsize?
- -i
- Try different parameter sets for yourself on the datasets in dat/scanxx.3d
- Hint: use --e to export good normals
- Use bin/qviewer to display the results



Finding the Edges

• "Planar Marcing Cubes"





Planar Marching Cubes





Extended Marching Cubes












Inconsistencies





Marching Tetrahedra













Remove Artifacts



- Remove triangle clusters unconnected to the mesh
- Using recursive region growing
- Heuristic: Number of triangles in cluster
- Done before holes are closed





Extract and Optimize Planes



- Detect planes via region growing with normal threshold
- Optimize vertex positions by dragging them into the plane
- Make this iteratively to merge planes that come closer
- Delete small regions that do not belog to planes

OSNABRÜCK

UNIVERSITÄT

UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK Mesh Optimization – Region Growing



- Every triangle is checked exactly once
- Neighbor edges can be found in O(1) time

Linear time for polygon extraction

After all planes have been found: Re-Triangulation

Iterative Plane Optimization







Plane Optimization - Intersections

- Drag all vertices into common plane
- Optimize the intersections of planar regions
 - Calculate the exact intersection line
 - Drag affected vertices into the computed straight line
 - Fuse edges that are on the same line to reduce number of segments







- Relevant parameters:
- -o --pnt --lft -t
- "Optimize planes"
- "Plane normal threshold" Normal criterion
- "Line Fusion Threshold"
- Re-Tesselate
- --planeIterations
- Try different parameter sets for yourself on the datasets in dat/scanxx.3d











- Trace contours within planes
- Close contours up to a given size
- Number of edges in the hole polygon
- Close by edge collapsing













• Close holes by edge collapsing









































• Example:



Much more complex in the implementation...



Dr. Thomas Wiemann – Large Scale Point Cloud Processing - LVR





- Relevant parameters:
- --smallRegionThreshold
- --fillHoles
- Try different parameter sets for yourself on the datasets in dat/scanxxx.3d













- Generate new triangulation of plane contours
- Use the OpenGL-tesselator
- Usually computed on graphics card
- No change of geometry, but topology





	File Size [MB]	Num Points	Num Faces
Initial Point Cloud	132.5	4,253,689	-
Mesh without Re-Triangulation	10.8	221,443	371,460
Mesh with Re-Triangulation	4.5	119,557	98,648







Generate Textures





- Every plane can be associated with a bitmap texture
- Small regions are rendered with a suitable color
- Colores are generated from the information in the input clouds
- File format: Wavefront OBJ









Example: Thermal data





- "Inverse Texture Mapping":
 - Put a pixelmap map over polygon
 - For each pixel: Search nearest points in data set
 - Color pixel according to input data
 - Color non-plane triangles with single color







- Relevant parameters:
- --generateTextures -texelSize
- Hmm, OK ;-)
- Size of pixels
- Depending on the scale of your input data
- Try different parameter sets on dat/
- Start with

```
bin/lvr_reconstruct dat/horncolor.ply -v 20 -o
-t --kd 100 --pnt 0.95 --fillHoles 0 --generateTextures --texelSize 5
```





Texture Matching

- Searching for textures in the data base:
 - Color Coherence Matching (CCM)

fast, very low rate of false negatives, but high rate of false positives

- Cross correlation fast in Fourier space, generally good results, but sensible to threshold setting
- Feature based matching best results, but slow
- Approach:
 - 1. Check with CCM: In case of "no match", reject.
 - 2. Otherwise: Combine CC & Features





- Check with <u>Cross Correlation</u> if an already detected and archived pattern is present in the current texture bitmap.
- Moving pattern over the current texture:







- Does the image contain a pattern?
 If so, where is the optimal cut of that pattern?
- Pattern check: auto-correlate the image with itself:





Pattern Extraction





Texture Matching


Other Reconstruction Methods



OSNABRÜCK

UNIVERSITÄT



Marching Cubes with Implicit Surface

Signed Distance Function RIMLS, APSS Poisson Reconstruction

Marching Tetrahedra, Extended MC,...

Need Point Normal Information

Point Cloud Triangulation

Delaunay Triangulation Power Crust Alpha Shapes Ball Pivoting...

No Normal Information needed!



- Reconstruction as Poisson Problem
- Normals are samplings of the derivative of an "Indicator Fuction" $\boldsymbol{\chi}$
- Find global solution to the following Poisson Problem:

$$\Delta \chi \equiv \nabla \cdot \nabla \chi = \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathbf{V}}.$$



Available Implementations

	LVR		CGAL	PCL	
Marching Cubes	3 Variants*, SDF	RIMLS, APSS	-	Greedy	
Alpha Shapes	-	Yes	Yes	-	
Poisson Reconstruction	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Ball Pivoting	-	Yes	-	-	
Power Crust**	-	-	-	-	

- LVR, CGAL and PCL can be integrated easily into robot control architectures
- Meshlab can be used as server to process files

*) Standard Marching Cubes, Planar Marching Cubes, Extended Marching Cubes, Marching Tetrahedrons

**) Proprietary implementation under GPL



- Influence of the source geometry / input quality
 - Is the method at hand capable of reconstructing what I want?
 - Closed surfaces vs. open ones?
 - Do I need a closed surface representation
- Runtime
- Geometric Precision
- Topological soundness
 - Degenerated Faces
 - Faulty linkage
 - Isolated vertiex





- Evaluate all methods on different data sets that cover a variety geometries
- Test on real data from different sensors
- Use artificially noised data to compare impact of inaccurate measurements to ground truth
- Always try to get the parameterization that generates the best visual results









LVR LVR RANSAC PCL CGAL MESHLAB

Geometry and Data Quality

LVR APSS Ball Pivoting Poisson Alpha Shape



UNIVERSITÄT



OSNABRÜCK



(a) High Resolution Laser Scanner Data



(b) Rotating SICK Laser Scanner



(c) Kinect



(d) Segmented Object from Kinect Data



Geometry and Data Quality



LVR



Ball Pivoting



Poisson

Alpha Shapes

Dr. Thomas Wiemann – Large Scale Point Cloud Processing - LVR





Dataset	Method	Time	Dist.	Edges/Vertices	Triangles
SICK	LVR	0.10	0.0257	0/6	6267
	APSS	14.01	0.0307	0/8	478 061
	Ball Pivoting	11.90	0.0166	0 / 4168	36363
	Poisson	28.07	0.3794	0 / 0	67 094
	Alpha Shape	1.20	0.0024	186 188 / 1	301 091
Leica	LVR	0.30	0.0910	0 / 74	26615
	APSS	32.20	0.0320	0 / 133	292101
	Ball Pivoting	830.35	0.0022	0 / 5 595	476919
	Poisson	59.32	0.1610	0 / 0	613087
	Alpha Shape	39.53	0.0004	2578906/0	4 382 256
Kinect	LVR	0.70	0.2039	0 / 48	60628
	APSS	158.00	0.1995	0 / 1284	595269
	Ball Pivoting	448.59	0.1297	0 / 4861	252678
	Poisson	64.01	0.2257	0 / 0	596046
	Alpha Shape	27.98	0.0339	1999144 / 9	3 408 572











	$\sigma = 0.0 \mathrm{mm}$		$\sigma = 0.5 \text{ mm}$			$\sigma = 1.0 \text{ mm}$			
Method	Time	Mean. Dist.	Max. Dist.	Time	Mean. Dist.	Max. Dist.	Time	Mean. Dist.	Max. Dist.
LVR	0.90	0.01	1.26	2.00	0.20	2.52	3.50	0.73	3.00
APSS	936.21	0.01	1.26	105.77	0.25	2.95	221.88	0.82	6.62
Poisson	105.10	0.41	8.83	62.53	24.00	93.20	28.62	26.53	87.21
Alpha Shapes	3.66	0.50	2.52	6.00	0.58	3.87	66.85	0.93	5.26
Ball Pivoting	1138.34	0.03	1.26	1133.32	0.58	3.87	1334.97	1.090	5.26

- LVR delivers best results on noisy data while having the best run time
- Poission has the highest mean and max distance (in this experiment)
- Ball Pivoting has the highest run time



- Direct triangulation methods deliver *globally* good results but are highly sensitive to noise and have long run times
- Poisson reconstructions are topologically correct and can handle occlusions, if objects are segmented and symmetric
- Marching Cubes reconstructions can be used on arbitrary surfaces and can be robust against noise
- LVR is fast, noise resistant and can be used on arbitrary surfaces