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Abstract—Digitizing archaeological or industrial sites on land using standard methods of photogrammetry like 3D modeling from photos or laser scanning is well understood. In contrast, precise underwater surveying with high resolution is still a complex and difficult task. In this paper, we present the development and construction of a structured light underwater laser scanning system and show first results on applying the system for mobile scanning in the water. The laser scanner employs two line lasers to project a cross on the scene. This enables mobile scanning in multiple directions and provides an overlapping scan pattern, which is exploited for trajectory optimization. We describe the image processing, calibration and 3D reconstruction methods used for creating point clouds using the system. In experiments conducted in a towing tank we demonstrate 3D scans captured by rotating the scanner on a robotic joint and first results of mobile scans acquired by moving the scanner through the water along a linear trajectory.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cultural Heritage sites and archaeological ruins are the legacy of civilization and evolution but they are severely threatened by natural deterioration and man-made alteration and robbery. Many sites are in need for precise digitization for the preservation of sensitive assets and their dissemination to the general public. Unfortunately, the constraints and requirements for each specific site vary and, therefore, not a single sensor system fits all sites and purposes. While digitizing archaeological sites on land as well as artifacts are well understood and standard methods of photogrammetry like 3D modeling from photos or laser scanning are applied, precise underwater surveying with high resolution is still a complex and difficult task.

For many underwater mapping applications sonar technology is still the primary solution because of its large sensor range and its robustness to turbidity. However, certain measurement tasks require higher accuracies and resolutions. For example, archaeologists are interested in scanning and monitoring wooden structures, such as fragments of hull planks of ships, with millimeter resolution and accuracy to be able to investigate which parts fit together. Recovering these artifacts from the water and scanning them on land might lead to wrong conclusions because drying the wood causes deformations. Furthermore, there is also an interest in high resolution underwater scanning for industrial applications, such as inspection of welding seams, surveying of pipelines and structural monitoring of the pillars of oil platforms or offshore wind farms. Such requirements make optical scanners interesting despite their limited range due to the high absorption of light in water. In the field of archaeology photogrammetric methods are regularly applied to underwater sites [1].

In this paper, we present work in progress on the development and construction of a structured light underwater laser scanning system for mobile mapping applications. Figure 1 depicts the scanner mounted on a tripod and deployed for testing in a natural lake. The system is built using off-the-shelf underwater housings, aluminum profiles and 3D printed parts. We use high power green line lasers to project a cross pattern on the scene. This has the advantage that for mobile mapping applications the scanner can be moved into multiple directions, while still a large swath is scanned. Using two line projectors has the advantage that there is overlap between the point clouds created from the individual lines even if the scanner is moved along a linear trajectory. These measurements of the same surface at different points in time are exploitable for trajectory optimization using Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) algorithms.

II. RELATED WORK

A comprehensive overview of optical underwater sensing modalities is given in [2]. Different variants of structured light scanning have been successfully applied for underwater 3D scanning. Fringe projection has been applied successfully to acquire very detailed scans with high precision of small underwater objects [3], [4]. Despite the limited illuminating
power of a standard digital projectors, working distances of more than 1 m have been reported in clear water [5].

Underwater laser scanning systems with larger measurement range often employ high-power line laser projectors. For example, commercial scanners from 2G Robotics offer a range of up to 10 m depending on the water conditions [6]. 3D scans are typically created by rotating the scanner and measuring the movement using rotational encoders or mounting the scanner to a moving platform.

Palomer et al. use a laser projector based on galvanometer scanners [7]. This allows to project sweeping laser lines on the scene, which allows to reconstruct the full field of view of the camera. Since in this configuration the laser hits the air-glass and glass-water interface surface at an angle, in water the laser projection cannot be described by a plane. This needs to be modeled explicitly using a physical refraction model. For example, Palomer et al. calibrate the parameters of a cone model to describe the laser projection surface [8].

Another approach is to mount line laser projectors inside a glass cylinder and rotate the line lasers with a motor. This approach is, for example, used in the UX-1 underwater mine exploration robotic system [9]. In this case the line projectors are aligned manually, such that the projection is perpendicular to the air-glass and glass-water interface surfaces and a straight line is projected. This way the refraction effects are relatively small and are neglected depending on the accuracy requirements. Similarly, the SeaVision subsea 3D laser imaging system developed by Kraken Robotics [10] uses rotating red, green and blue line lasers. This enables the system to produce colored scans by evaluating the intensity of the responses of the different laser projectors. Alternatively, diffractive optical elements are used to project multiple lines or a grid for one shot 3D reconstruction [11], [12]. Calibration of these systems is typically achieved using chessboard patterns or 3D calibration fixtures. Some of the parameters can also be determined using self-calibration approaches [13].

Most of the commercially available underwater laser range sensors are based on laser stripe projection or other forms of structured light. More recently companies started development of time-of-flight (ToF) underwater laser scanners. For example, the company “3D at Depth” developed a commercial underwater LiDAR, which is mounted on a pan-and-tilt unit to create 3D scans of underwater environments similar to terrestrial pulsed ToF laser scanning [14]. A recently proposed scanning system by Mitsubishi uses a dome port with the scanner aligned in the optical center to achieve a wider field of view [15].

Mobile mapping with underwater laser scanners is achieved by using the navigation data of the vehicle. For example, Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) data of a ship [16] or a combination of acoustic underwater positioning system information, Doppler Velocity Log (DVL) and inertial navigation [9] is used to measure the vehicle trajectory. Furthermore, Structure-from-Motion (SfM) algorithms are applied to create a trajectory estimate of the scanning system [17].
Fig. 3. Structured light underwater laser scanner. Left: Scanner with motorized robotic joint mounted on a tripod, right: Detail view of the camera and laser projector assemblies mounted inside the underwater housings.

Fig. 4. Developed structured light underwater laser scanning system deployed for testing in a natural lake. Left: 3D underwater scanner with cross line laser projection, right: 3D-scanning of the concrete base of a footbridge.

Fig. 5. Example images captured with green and blue laser projectors in turbid water conditions with less than 1 m visibility.

worm gear, which is driven by a stepper motor. This allows rotating the scanner to capture 360° scans. A magnetic encoder sealed in epoxide resin is used to measure the rotation angle of the scanner. All housings include embedded PCs with network interface. The Robot Operating System (ROS) is used as a middleware for sensor interfaces, logging and data processing. All embedded PCs are time-synchronized using Network Time Protocol (NTP) and a pulse-per-second signal. For synchronization of the camera with the laser projector a dedicated trigger pulse signal is used.

The right image in Figure 3 shows the electronics and optics components mounted inside the underwater housings. The camera assembly includes the lens with a focal length of 12.5 mm. The camera is a FLIR Blackfly 2.3 Megapixel color camera with a 1/1.2” Sony Pregius IMX249 CMOS sensor. The image resolution is 1920 × 1200 pixels with 5.86 μm pixel size and a maximum framerate of 41 fps. For image processing an embedded PC with an Intel Atom x5-Z8350 processor is included in the housing.

The cross line projector is constructed from Powell laser line optics, beam correction prisms and the laser diodes. The lasers are two 1 W green diode lasers with a wavelength of 525 nm, which are mounted to an aluminum heat sink. The laser output power is controlled by two laser diode drivers, which can be adjusted via PWM signals generated by a microcontroller connected to an embedded PC. The two laser lines project a laser cross consisting of two perpendicular lines in the scene. The fan angle of the laser lines is 45°, which is reduced in water to approximately 32°. The total field of view is therefore 360° × 32°. The lasers are fired synchronized to the camera shutter using trigger pulse signals. An alternating firing order of the individual lasers is employed, such that each image captured by the camera includes only one of the two laser lines.

Figure 4 depicts the scanner mounted on a tripod and deployed for testing in a natural lake. All components of the scanner are rated for 100 m water depth. The main components of the scanner, such as the underwater housings, cables and connectors are rated for more than 1000 m water depth.

B. Image Processing

Significant challenges for underwater 3D laser scanning lie in the image processing and extraction of the laser curves. Figure 5 shows images captured using the underwater system in turbid water conditions. Particle backscatter complicates the automatic extraction of the laser lines. This requires research into improved image processing algorithms for robust extraction of the laser curves.

For the extraction of the laser lines in the image, we employ a ridge detector based on the work of Steger [18]. The idea of the algorithm is to find curves in the image that have a characteristic 1D line profile in the direction perpendicular to the line, i.e., a vanishing gradient and high curvature. We apply the line detector to a gray image created by averaging the color channels.

Since the line detector requires applying computational expensive convolution filters, we first segment the image based
plane. The plane parameters of the laser plane is finally found automatically even if only part of the structure is visible in the image. The employed structure is depicted in the top images of Figure 6. It is constructed from aluminum sheets and profiles using welding. The pattern is printed on vinyl waterproof stickers and glued on the structure. The position of the individual tags are measured using the iSpace high-precision position and tracking system, which provides sub-millimeter accuracy [21].

For calibrating the laser projector it is necessary to determine the laser plane parameters relative to the origin of the camera coordinate system. To do this, an L-shaped 3D calibration pattern, depicted in the bottom image of Figure 6, consisting of two planes with AprilTags has been designed. This enables determining the laser plane equation from a single image.

First, we detect the L-shaped pattern in the camera image and compute the pose relative to the calibrated camera. From this we compute the parameters of the two individual planes of the calibration target. Then, we detect laser points lying on the two calibration planes and reconstruct their 3D position by intersecting the camera ray with the respective calibration plane. The plane parameters of the laser plane is finally found by fitting a plane to the reconstructed 3D laser point positions.

To obtain robust parameter estimates we capture images with different calibration fixture poses. Then, we compute the best fitting plane over multiple calibration images to improve the final solution.

The rotation axis is estimated by placing the 3D calibration fixture in front of the scanner and rotating the system. The relative movement of the camera is estimated by computing the pose relative to the calibration pattern using the intrinsic camera parameters. The rotation is measured using the rotary encoder feedback. Then, the translation and rotation offsets of the camera coordinate system with respect to the rotation center of the motor are found by optimization. The offset parameters are computed by minimizing the difference between the relative pose measurements based on the calibration fixture and the rotary encoder.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

For testing and evaluation the underwater laser scanner was deployed in the towing tank at the chair of fluid dynamics at the University of Rostock. The towing carriage and tank is depicted in the left image of Figure 7. The water tank is 5 m wide and provides a depth of up to 3 m. The scanner was deployed at about 2.5 m water depth using a vertical bar, which is shown in the middle image of Figure 7.

A. Underwater 3D Scanning Results

Static scans were acquired by rotating the system using the yaw motor of the scanner. For evaluation purposes complex objects built by the Fraunhofer Research Institution for Large Structures in Production Engineering (IGP) in Rostock and the company IMAWIS GmbH are placed in front of the scanner at different distances. The test objects shown in the right image of Figure 7 are scanned in air with a high precision structured light scanner GOM ATOS III to create a reference model of the geometry [22].

Figure 8 shows in the left column point cloud results captured at varying distances. The point clouds are colored by intensity. The top scan was captured at about 1 m distance, the middle scan at 2 m distance, and the bottom scan at 3.5 m distance from the objects. The point clouds are registerd using the Iterative Closest Point (ICP) algorithm with the reference models. This is depicted in the images in the middle column of Figure 8.

We compute the distance between the reference model and the captured point cloud. The scan colored by the difference to the reference model is visualized in the right column of Figure 8. Note that the color scaling is adjusted for each picture to the range of errors present in the particular scan to highlight the distribution of errors within the scan. While for the close range small errors in the range of millimeters is observed, the error significantly increases with distance. For the top scan captured at 1 m distance the errors are below 1 cm. The middle scan captured at 2 m shows larger errors of up to 2 cm. The bottom scan captured at 3.5 m distance shows errors of up to 5 cm. At the larger scanning distances some
misalignment of the measurements of the two laser lines is visible, which is caused by calibration inaccuracies.

B. Underwater Mobile Mapping Results

As a second experiment mobile scans were acquired by moving the scanner in the water using the towing carriage. For this experiment the scanner was moved with constant velocity at a slow speed of $0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ through the water. It is assumed that the trajectory is approximately linear. However, the angular parameters of the relative pose of the scanner with respect to the towing carriage need to be estimated from the data.

The result for a trajectory with a length of 8 m is shown in Figure 9. The red line visualizes the trajectory of the scanner. The top images show two renderings of the point cloud colored by intensities, while the bottom images are colored by height. For comparison the tank was also scanned from static positions using the scanner’s motorized axis. The top images in Figure 10 show 360 deg scans of the towing tank. The point cloud was created by registering three scans using the ICP algorithm. The individual scanner poses are marked along the red line. The bottom image in Figure 10 shows the difference between the point cloud capture by towing the scanner through the water and the static scans. Both models agree well with the majority of errors below 5 cm. The larger errors in the range of decimeters are a result of no overlap between the two scans due to occlusions, or outliers caused, for example, by reflections on metallic surfaces.

C. Discussion

The first results of the proposed underwater laser scanning system are promising and good quality scans are achieved. The calibration routines need some improvement to increase
Fig. 9. Underwater scan created by moving the scanner in the water along a linear trajectory (red line). Top: point cloud and detail view colored with intensities, bottom: point clouds colored by height.

Fig. 10. Comparison between static scans and mobile scans. Top: static scan of the towing tank colored with intensities (the scan poses are marked along the red line), bottom: point distance between the static and mobile mapping scans depicted in Figure 9.
the accuracy of the system. For example, the small L-pattern used in this work for calibration of the laser parameters allows to calibrate only a single line at a time. Using a large calibration fixture and calibrating both laser planes simultaneously enables to exploit additional constraints, such as intersections between the laser lines, in order to improve the relative orientation of the two laser planes. Additionally, the presented data in this paper could not be captured with encoder feedback due to a hardware failure. Therefore, all scans were computed using only the setpoint of the stepper motor, which has a lower angular resolution and is less accurate than the encoder feedback.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we presented a structured light underwater laser scanning system and its application for mobile mapping. First experiments and results of acquiring static and mobile scans in a towing tank were described. Using structured light scanners for mobile mapping is interesting because it enables to map larger areas in the water despite the limited measurement range of optical scanners. Needless to say a lot of work remains to be done to apply the proposed scanning system in the field to create precise and repeatable scans in natural waters. In future work we plan to exploit the overlapping scan pattern for simultaneous localization and mapping to improve the trajectory estimates.
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